

Developing the best research professionals

Qualified graduate nurses: recommendations for preparing and supporting clinical academic nurses of the future

Report of the UKCRC Subcommittee
for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce)





igniting our potential

Developing the best research professionals

Qualified graduate nurses: recommendations for preparing and supporting clinical academic nurses of the future

Report of the UKCRC Subcommittee for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce)



in collaboration with **Modernising Nursing Careers**

Contents

FORWARD BY THE UK CHIEF NURSING OFFICERS	3
CHAIR'S INTRODUCTION	4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
1. Introduction	9
2. Background and context	11
3. Major barriers for nurses in clinical research	17
4. Recommendations	21
Appendices	
Appendix 1 UKCRC Subcommittee for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce)	26
Appendix 2 Key documents	30
Appendix 3 References	31
Appendix 4 Definitions	32
Abbreviations	Back cover

Foreword by the UK Chief Nursing Officers

The four United Kingdom Chief Nursing Officers established the Modernising Nursing Careers initiative in 2005/06. This initiative forms part of an overarching programme of work to reform and modernise career structures covering all the main healthcare professionals. The report *Modernising Nursing Careers: Setting the Direction* was published in September 2006 and the four countries are committed to taking forward the actions it sets out to modernise nursing careers across the United Kingdom.

The report recognised that nursing careers take different forms: while some choose to climb an upward ladder of increasing responsibility, many choose a more lateral career journey, moving within and between care groups and settings. At the time of publication we acknowledged that the report was only the beginning, and further work is now underway, in partnership with other stakeholders, to take forward the priorities and actions we set out.

This report of the UKCRC Subcommittee for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce) *Developing the best research professionals* has been produced in collaboration with Modernising Nursing Careers and builds on our previous work. It presents recommendations for preparing and supporting

clinical academic nurses of the future and addresses the need to develop a clear career pathway for those nurses involved in clinical research. We wholeheartedly welcome this report and support the principles underlying its recommendations. However, it should be recognised that the current status of Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professions (NMAHPs) research is very different in the four devolved administrations, and the response to the recommendations will therefore be tailored to the individual contexts. The report's publication fits in well with other streams of work across the UK and comes at an ideal time as Modernising Nursing Careers moves into a new phase and we begin to set programmes of work into 2008.

Christine Beasley

Chief Nursing Officer, England

Martin Bradley

Chief Nursing Officer, Northern Ireland

Rosemary Kennedy

Chief Nursing Officer, Wales

Paul Martin

Chief Nursing Officer, Scotland

This report has been produced by the UK Clinical Research Collaboration in collaboration with Modernising Nursing Careers.



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government



SCOTTISH EXECUTIVE

Chair's Introduction

In 2005, I was invited by the UKCRC to chair the Subcommittee for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce) which aimed to examine the current roles of nurses as researchers and educators, and investigate the barriers faced by nurses who want to pursue research careers. The Subcommittee's initial findings were published in a draft report in December 2006. From January until March 2007 the UKCRC ran a public consultation to engage the views of a wider group of stakeholders on the report and its recommendations.

The UKCRC received 186 responses which were overwhelmingly positive and showed widespread support for the recommendations. A report summarising the responses received in the consultation process was considered by the Subcommittee before the *Developing the best research professionals* report was finalised. The consultation summary report can be downloaded from the UKCRC website (www.ukcrc.org). The UKCRC would like to thank all the individuals and organisations that provided valuable input to this report and in many cases the comments received have been reflected in the final report. However, a number of issues were raised in the consultation about which there is no clear answer at present.

Several respondents considered the proposed numbers of nurses to be funded at each stage of the programme to be too low. The numbers proposed in the recommendations are indicative and we have sought to balance a pragmatic assessment of what could be affordable with the intention to signal that there needs to be a substantial increase in capacity in order to address the issues identified in our report. In the longer term the Subcommittee can envisage an expansion of the proposed numbers. However, in the short to medium term we see them as realistic whilst building up the necessary capacity and capability for supervising and mentoring researchers at the higher levels.

It is important to acknowledge that this report is aimed at building up not just the capacity of nurses as clinical researchers, but also the capability in the nursing workforce to enable highly qualified nurses to compete against other health professionals for clinical research funding.

The proposed duration of the awards was also questioned by several respondents to the consultation as not being sufficiently long, in particular the PhD/Professional Doctorate Award. The current proposals are based on a steady-state where we would expect a nurse to have completed a two-year MRes/MClinRes with extensive training in research methodology before progressing to a PhD/Professional Doctorate. However in the transition period as the schemes bed down the implementation group may take a view to allow a longer duration for some awards.

We recognise that different systems may be needed for implementation across the four nations of the UK and each nation will need to identify how the recommendations can best complement existing processes for training the research workforce. More widely, the implementation must be monitored closely across the UK and the next step for this report is to put the recommendations to the major stakeholders and plan implementation. Many issues regarding implementation were highlighted in the consultation exercise and these will be handed over to the implementation group.

As part of the consultation process, the UKCRC also invited the Allied Health Professions (AHPs) to answer a two-part question regarding the potential applicability of the recommendations to the academic research careers of the AHPs. The majority of respondents agreed that the recommendations substantially apply to the AHPs and similarities were identified between nursing and the AHPs in many areas. However, further

work is required around the implementation of the recommendations to the AHPs, particularly in regard to the diverse nature of the different professional groups. This view was endorsed by the UKCRC Board.

Finally, this report represents a great deal of hard work by many people and I would like to thank the other members of the Subcommittee and the Expert Reference Group. In particular, I would also like to thank Professor Tony Butterworth (Director, Centre for Clinical and Academic Workforce Innovation, University of Lincoln) and his colleagues who supported the work behind the report, Professor Dame Jill Macleod Clark (Chair of the Council of Deans and Heads of UK University Faculties for Nursing, Midwifery and Health Visiting) who chaired the Expert Reference Group, Professor Christine Beasley (Chief Nursing Officer for England, Department of Health) and the UKCRC Secretariat for their time and effort in facilitating the process and writing this report.

Professor Janet Finch

Chair, UKCRC Subcommittee for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce) and Vice Chancellor, Keele University

Executive summary

This report contributes towards one of the aims of the UK Clinical Research Collaboration, to develop a highly skilled workforce of trained clinical researchers and educators within the context of a rapidly changing UK healthcare environment. In particular, this report examines the current role of nurses as researchers and educators and investigates the barriers that are preventing them from reaching their full potential in these areas. It envisages a more flexible career structure that will develop the clinical academic role – combining clinical and academic work – as the norm for those nurses who successfully pursue a research career, rather than obliging them to pursue one role at the expense of the other. It recognises the broad range of research skills needed by nurses working in many different research environments and includes a spectrum of training opportunities. It supports and promotes the development of a clinical research environment that provides opportunities for nurses to pursue research careers at all levels and that will ultimately produce research leaders and academics of the future.

Building on this analysis, and taking account of the framework for Modernising Nursing Careers (MNC) being led by the Chief Nursing Officers, the report makes recommendations for enabling nursing to respond to the exciting opportunities that are being created by emerging research and development policies in the health sector. It envisages a future in which a larger number of graduate nurses – though still a minority of the profession – would be active in high quality clinical and other health related research at various levels.

The recommendations take the ongoing changes in the UK research environment into account by increasing both research capacity and capability in the nursing profession. Increasing capacity will expand the total number of nurses involved in research and help to provide the engine room for the new emerging infrastructure. At the same time increasing capability should ensure that a greater

proportion of this increased number of clinical academic nurses is capable of operating at the highest levels of research.

Our recommendations address three main areas:

- ▶ **Education and training**
- ▶ **Facilitating careers**
- ▶ **Better information on nursing researchers**

Education and training

Recommendation 1

We recommend the establishment of a coordinated range of research training opportunities endorsed by all four countries of the UK. These training opportunities should be organised at four sequential levels (Award Schemes 1 – 4), as set out below.

Recommendation 2

We welcome the opportunities for nurses presented by the UK Clinical Research Networks and clinical research facilities. We recommend that the rapidly developing training programmes emerging within this infrastructure should be seen as preparatory steps towards the new training path, for those who have experience of working as research nurses and who wish to develop their skills further. It will be important that this rapidly increasing cadre of research professionals has access to clear advice and mentoring to ensure that those that wish to move on to an MRes and beyond, can do so.

Recommendation 3

MRes or MClInRes (Award Scheme 1)

We recommend that up to 100 career clinical academic training positions be funded annually for graduate nurses. These positions will be of a two-year duration (or part time equivalence), and 50% clinical and 50% academic in composition. Such posts will have a clear academic postgraduate component resting within a well defined vocational training programme. A set of Core Modules should

be developed nationally which would form part of the curriculum for all Award Scheme 1 holders.

Recommendation 4

PhD/Professional Doctorate (Award Scheme 2)

We recommend that up to 50 early career clinical academic appointments are funded annually. These positions will be of a three-year duration (or part time equivalence) and allow students to undertake a PhD or Professional Doctorate programme of study.

Recommendation 5

Postdoctoral Career Fellowships (Award Scheme 3)

We recommend that up to 20 Postdoctoral Career Fellowships are funded annually. These positions will be of a three-year duration (or part time equivalence) to allow appointees to undertake advanced research, clinical and education roles and develop their programme leadership potential.

Recommendation 6

Senior Clinical Academic Fellowships (Award Scheme 4)

We recommend that up to ten Senior Clinical Academic Fellowships for nurses are funded annually. These positions will be of a three- to five-year duration (or part time equivalence) and allow appointees to develop more advanced research, clinical work and education skills and develop their role as leaders.

Facilitating careers

Recommendation 7

We recommend that career flexibility, specifically the ease of combining research and clinical practice throughout a career, must be enabled through the introduction of sessionally based contracts of employment that allow nurses to work as clinicians while also undertaking other roles as researchers and/or educators. In the long term, model contracts should be developed, but in the interim pragmatic solutions such as secondments must be adopted.

Recommendation 8

We recommend that in discussion with key partners, a well articulated system of mentoring and peer support is developed for nurse researchers and educators, including emerging researchers. Appropriate resources should be identified to deliver this system.

Better information

Recommendation 9

We recommend that NHS careers advisors must be made aware of and promote the full range of career opportunities that are possible for qualified nurses. Careers advice must include opportunities for developing excellence in clinical research, education and leadership.


Recommendation 10

We recommend that a single data source be developed to provide information on labour market intelligence that relates to nurses engaged in training to be researchers and educators.

Implementation

Recommendation 11

We recommend that the implementation and delivery of these recommendations and their associated actions should commence without delay, with a view to achieving the recommendations outlined in this report within five years. The progress of the implementation of the recommendations should be carefully monitored and reviewed after an appropriate timescale.



INTRODUCTION

Introduction

The UK Clinical Research Collaboration (UKCRC) is a partnership of academic, charitable, commercial and government organisations which aims to establish the UK as a world leader in clinical research by harnessing the full potential of the NHS. To this end, trained researchers from all relevant disciplines and professional backgrounds are needed, and therefore 'Building up the Research Workforce' is one of the key areas of activity of the UKCRC. For example, integrated training schemes for doctors and dentists have been established following the recommendations of the Academic Careers Subcommittee of Modernising Medical Careers and the UKCRC [1].

The UKCRC commissioned this report to examine the current role of nurses as researchers and educators, to investigate the barriers that stand in the way of nurses undertaking research careers, and to make recommendations for a training and support structure for nurses to work as researchers and educators at different stages in their career (for Terms of Reference, see Appendix 1).

The report of the UKCRC Subcommittee for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce) has had valuable input from international and national experts and other key stakeholders (Appendix 1). It builds on previous studies and reports (Appendix 2) and takes account of the changing working environment for nurses, including recent recommendations for modernisation of the nursing career structure [2],

the research strategies of the four devolved Health Departments [3-6], and the continuing development of clinical research infrastructure such as the UK Clinical Research Networks (UKCRN) [7]. To engage the views of a wider group of stakeholders the draft report went out to public consultation. The report and its recommendations received widespread support from the public consultation with the majority of responses being overwhelmingly positive.

By recommending how research and related training can be integrated into nursing careers, this report is designed to be of value to health sector employers, higher education institutions, the nursing profession, clinical research facilities and networks. It has the potential to affect all nurses working in the UK, whether they are newly qualified or experienced clinical nurses, researchers or educators. It also seeks to establish a clearly identified and properly resourced career track for a proportion of nurses who wish to pursue a clinical academic career and who are likely to lead future programmes of clinical and health related research.

The report proposes that an implementation group be established, under the remit of the UKCRC, to ensure implementation and delivery of the recommendations outlined in the report, within five years.



BACKGROUND & CONTEXT

Background & Context

Nearly 700,000 nurses, midwives and specialist community public health nurses are registered to work in the UK [8]. Nurses play a pivotal role within the NHS, providing front line services and support to patients, and they can make a unique contribution to health research. In particular, they can bring distinctive patient-focused insights to the kind of research which offers greatest benefits to patient care, and to the practical methodological issues which need to be addressed for research to produce relevant outcomes.

Historically, when nurse education was carried out within the NHS, there was little scope for nurses to be trained in or to carry out research. Some nurses became full time nurse educators and no longer carried out clinical work. Notwithstanding the development of a number of educator/clinical joint appointments in Schools of Nursing, the overall pattern remains. There is a sharp divide between researchers and educators on the one hand, and clinical nurses on the other, as well as a continuing lack of nurses skilled in research. Whilst there are many nurses employed as research nurses undertaking a key role in the delivery of research, many of these posts are temporary and opportunities for career development are limited or unclear.

This history gives rise to two linked problems. First, there is a lack of nurses who are sufficiently well qualified and experienced to lead research projects and few training opportunities exist outside a limited number of organisations. Second, the employment conventions are not conducive to nurturing clinical academic nurses since there is no clear pathway for nurses to pursue a career which combines clinical and academic work, or to include research as part of a broader based nursing career portfolio. This is exacerbated by the lack of a single salary scale or pension scheme, as would be the case for medicine.

Nurses are currently involved in research in a number of settings, including:

- ▶ **University Departments of Nursing:** these have a strong academic basis, with established research interests and methodological expertise, but often with less opportunity for clinical practice and patient-focused research.
- ▶ **Clinical Research Areas** (wards, clinics & departments): these offer access to well funded research projects, with some training but little academic supervision and poor career prospects.
- ▶ **Research Networks:** these networks offer considerable opportunities for nurses to work within multidisciplinary research teams within specified clinical networks, eg: dementias and neurodegenerative diseases, diabetes, medicines for children, primary care.
- ▶ **Clinical Research Facilities for Experimental Medicine:** these are units with an emphasis on high quality biomedical science and established methodological expertise. They offer opportunities for nurses to work within multidisciplinary research teams but with, as yet, little nurse-led research.
- ▶ **Primary Care:** nurses may work on ad-hoc research projects in primary care, supported by research grants, practice funds or pharmaceutical industry sponsorship. But such projects offer little opportunity for training or career progression.
- ▶ **Contract Research Facilities:** nurses are involved in the day-to-day running of many clinical trials of new medicines or new indications for older products. However, such research is protocol-driven, offers limited education and training in research methodology, and is likely to be carried out under short term contract, making integration into a clinical career difficult.

Existing Funding Opportunities

There are a range of funding opportunities and initiatives aimed at nurses. These vary from generic funding and training schemes, to specific capacity building initiatives. A number of the latter were implemented relatively recently or are still under development.

1. Higher Education Funding

The Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE) supports academic nursing departments (rated 3a or 3b in the 2001 Research Assessment Exercise) through its Research Capability Fund. This fund will continue until 2008/9.

In 2003, a major initiative building on a platform of a strategic research development grant through the Scottish Funding Council was launched. This provided £8 million to support the development of research capability and capacity in the Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professions (NMAHPs), and was contingent on additional funds being made available from higher education institutions.

2. England

There are a number of established programmes funded through NHS research and development where nurses, midwives and allied health professionals are able to access funding to support emerging and advancing research careers [9].

Nurses are integral to the English R&D strategy, *Best Research for Best Health* [3] which sets out how the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) will be established to develop the NHS as a world class environment for collaborative research in the public interest. The NIHR offers significant opportunities to harness and develop nursing expertise in research. Elements of the new strategy include:

- ▶ NIHR Faculty - Senior Investigators, Investigators and Trainees: nurses are integral

to the NHS research community and are expected to be represented in the NIHR Faculty at all levels.

- ▶ The Research Capacity Development Programme – supports nurses through its Nursing and Allied Health Professions Scheme and Personal Award Scheme to undertake doctoral and postdoctoral and senior investigator research. Since 2002 a substantial cohort of nurses undertaking research has been established with spending increasing to £937k/annum in 2006.
- ▶ Research Projects and Programmes – these are open to researchers of any disciplinary or professional background to apply for funding. For example, the Health Technology Assessment Programme and the Service Delivery and Organisation Programme include substantial research on nursing, and nurse-led projects.

3. Scotland

In 2002, the Scottish Executive Health Department (SEHD) developed a new research strategy, *Choices and Challenges* [4], providing strategic direction and creating research aware, research literate and research active nursing and midwifery professionals.

The Chief Scientist Office funds a number of nurses through its generic Research Training Fellowship scheme, which is similar to the Researcher Development Awards given by the Department of Health. These nurses have been supported and mentored effectively, allowing them to develop new roles within clinical practice and research.

Recently, a research training scheme was developed in partnership with NHS Education for Scotland, the Scottish Executive Health Department and The Health Foundation. This is funding predoctoral and postdoctoral opportunities for NMAHPs and is delivered through a consortium including the NMAHPs Research Unit and a range of higher

education institutions in Scotland.

Clinical academic career pathways were identified as a key issue in *Choices and Challenges*. At present the Scottish Executive is undertaking a major scoping exercise for nursing and midwifery to examine current capacity, to comment on the early impact of these initiatives and to help direct future investment and models for academic careers in Scotland.

4. Wales

All nurse pre-registration education in Wales has been integrated into the higher education sector at graduate level and research knowledge is a component of the programme. Nurses in clinical research are supported by a Masters programme, MSc Clinical Research. The Welsh Assembly Government is developing a strategic agenda to enable Wales to become a country which develops its health and social care policies on the basis of evidence.

The Wales Office for Research and Development in Health and Social Care (WORD) is one of the agencies charged with delivering this agenda on behalf of the Welsh Assembly Government. WORD Research Project grants are open to researchers of any disciplinary or professional background to apply for funding. WORD is currently supporting a new studentship and fellowship programme for nursing and allied health professions to gain masters and doctoral qualifications (in partnership with The Health Foundation). This initiative also addresses academic and professional support for the fellows with the creation of a 'Community of Scholars' and access to further training within the new research infrastructure in Wales CRC Cymru.

5. Northern Ireland

The Research and Development (R&D) Office provides a strong foundation for development of nursing and midwifery research through significant

investment in education and training schemes ranging from support for MRes/MClinRes courses to postdoctoral awards. The R&D Office also works in partnership with the Research Capacity Development Programme to offer nurses and midwives further research opportunities.

In order to inform the strategy, policy and practice for developing nursing and midwifery research, the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland commissioned a position paper, *Using and Doing Research* [10]. Maintaining and monitoring progress on the research agenda has recently been strengthened through the Northern Ireland Practice and Education Council for Nursing and Midwifery in partnership with the R&D Office through *Using and Doing Research: Guiding the Future* [11].

The R&D Office is working with other key stakeholders to implement the findings of *Using and Doing: Guiding the Future* in conjunction with its established programmes to increase nursing and midwifery's contribution to health and social care research.

The Changing Research Environment in the UK

The funding environment for health research is evolving rapidly at present with two specific features which are important for the proposals in this report. First, funding for research is becoming ever more selective and focused on the highest quality research. From a university perspective, successive Research Assessment Exercises (RAE) have increasingly focused funding on the very best research by national and international standards. In respect of NHS R&D, one of the aims of the *Best Research for Best Health* reforms is to protect and enhance the highest quality research. Second, there is increasing emphasis on research which ultimately benefits patients, both in the changes in NHS R&D, and in the RAE 2008 increasing

emphasis on applied research. The Cooksey Report [12], which reviewed ways in which NHS R&D can be brought together with Medical Research Council funding, was commissioned specifically against this background of the need to protect basic research whilst shifting a greater emphasis onto applications.

These twin features – greater selectivity and greater emphasis on applied research – have influenced our thinking, in that we need to ensure that clinical academic nurses are well prepared to play an appropriate role within this emerging health research environment. The greater emphasis on the clinical applications of research requires more multi-professional research teams to develop the bench-to-bedside approach, of which nursing will be an essential component in many cases. This makes it imperative to increase the number of nurses who are skilled and experienced in conducting high quality research, both to play a key role in and to lead these multi-professional research teams. The emphasis on selectivity means that more nurses need to be brought to a standard of research expertise where they can compete with the best for research funding, either as Principal Investigators or as key members of research teams [13].

The Health Departments in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales have all published Research and Development strategies that are relevant to nurses and are at different stages of implementation. These strategies all impact on the environment where clinical and health related research takes place and where many research nurses will be employed and educated. Implementation across the UK varies with each administration but all the strategies are aimed at creating a world-class infrastructure to underpin excellence in research. Common themes across the strategies include the desire to build up a workforce of highly skilled research staff and also to invest in a range of research facilities that can act as a platform to deliver clinical trials and other well-designed studies.

Other key features of the emerging environment that need to be taken into account in a future academic careers structure include:

- ▶ **Research Networks** – The UKCRN is made up of a series of interconnected networks linked together by a number of coordinating centres. The exact structure and organisation of the networks varies across the UK. As well as supporting major research, networks provide substantial research training opportunities for all research nurses and are strengthening the existing provision. This includes training on running clinical trials on topics such as preparation for audit, informed consent, communications and good clinical practice. It also includes training tailored to support research careers on issues such as how to get research grants and sponsorship in learning, linked to developing careers in nursing. As further research networks come on stream, they will have the potential to make a major contribution to the development of research careers in nursing.
- ▶ **Clinical Research Facilities for Experimental Medicine** – As part of a coordinated initiative to boost experimental medicine in the UK, major funding has been targeted to build up the infrastructure that supports it. With this increase in the UK's capability and capacity to conduct experimental medicine research comes a need for skilled and trained research nurses, working in a number of different roles, including in their operational management.
- ▶ **Research Units and Centres** – such as clinical trials units, academic units and biomedical research centres. These are often supported by partnerships between the NHS and universities and can support a wide range of research that involves nurses and develops research capacity in nursing.

The recommendations in this report take these changes into account by increasing both capacity and capability in research carried out by nurses. Increasing capacity will expand the total number of nurses involved in research and help to provide the engine room for the new infrastructure. At the same time increasing capability should ensure that a greater proportion of this increased number of clinical academic nurses is capable of operating at the highest levels of research.

Modernising Nursing Careers

The Modernising Nursing Careers (MNC) initiative was established by the Chief Nursing Officers of the four home nations in 2005 as part of a wider programme of workforce reform that is addressing the future career structures of a range of health professions.

The development of a clinical academic training pathway for nurses is therefore particularly timely as it corresponds with the broader aims of MNC [2]. It is also consistent with the four key priority areas that MNC has identified for action:

- ▶ Developing a competent and flexible nursing workforce
- ▶ Updating career pathways and career choices
- ▶ Preparing nurses to lead in a changed health care system
- ▶ Modernising the image of nursing and nursing careers

The potential benefits of a future nursing workforce that is both better trained and more active in research are numerous. For example, nurses would have more opportunities to shape the evidence base that informs their clinical practice. They would be able to influence the broader agenda of health research, so that it contributes clearly to high quality health services and patient care. The existence of a larger number of nurses who are trained and experienced in research could make a

critical contribution to nurse education in the future, as they undertake clinical work, research and education in different combinations over the course of their careers.

Currently the MNC initiative does not include the midwifery profession. However the Chief Nursing Officers of the four home nations are conducting a separate piece of work regarding modernising midwifery careers. Since the implementation of the recommendations made in this report are firmly linked to MNC, the next steps for midwives are as yet unknown; however in principle there is no reason why they should not be considered applicable to midwives.



**MAJOR
BARRIERS
for NURSES in
CLINICAL RESEARCH**

Journal of Clinical Nursing Research

Major barriers for nurses in clinical research

This section briefly summarises the major issues that have emerged from previous reports (Appendix 2) and that were confirmed and extended through consultation and discussions held with the Expert Reference Group and other key stakeholders (Appendix 1).

Education and training

In the 1990s, education of nurses in the UK moved from the NHS into universities, where both diploma and degree programmes are available. But variations between these courses in curricula and content mean that students can have very different educational experiences, with relatively little knowledge of research by the time they register. High achieving students are not purposefully nurtured to become the researchers and teachers of tomorrow.

Many nurses involved in research are employed as research nurses working on specific clinical trials funded by commercial and non-commercial organisations. These nurses play a key role in the delivery of research and it would be difficult to imagine a vibrant clinical and health related research portfolio without them. However many of these posts are temporary and opportunities for career development appear at best, unclear and at worst, limited.

Some academic departments of nursing have built up their research activity profiles but they are small in number and few of them are yet designated as world class research centres.

A growing number of nurses are educated to doctoral level, but most have to self fund part-time PhD courses without career guidance or support, while at the same time maintaining full-time posts elsewhere. Personal awards and scholarships have enabled some nurses to receive financial support but these are few in number.

A few nurses are fortunate to receive postdoctoral

awards/fellowships but again these are limited in number and do not protect the long term sustainability of research and teaching careers. Postdoctoral support, including mentoring and career planning, and appropriate opportunities for placements within research rich environments, has been neglected. Similar problems are seen for those with doctoral qualifications within academic teaching departments.

Around 4,500 new graduate nurses are registering in the UK each year [14].

During 2005, 900 nurses were registered on PhD programmes within nursing/midwifery. Over 60% were aged 40 or over. Only 8% were aged 29 or under [15].

Fewer than 1 in 10 nurses and midwives working in research in UK university hospitals have a research degree [16].

Employment structures

The present arrangements for career progression in nursing are serendipitous and unclear. Many nurses want to engage in research and/or teaching alongside their clinical work. But current employment contracts for nurses do not encourage academic and clinical elements, and there are too few joint appointments between higher education institutions and NHS trusts. A lack of protected time for research, compounded by high clinical workloads, the incompatibility of current pay scales between clinical practice and research, the absence of a clinical academic pay scale, and an anti-academic culture in some parts of the nursing profession obstruct the development of planned, integrated and flexible career pathways.

There are consistent accounts of nurses who wish to undertake research and teaching having to leave the clinical setting to pursue career development as researchers or educators. Nurses

working as researchers report large variations in job descriptions, titles and roles and unclear career prospects.

Some nurses in academic posts have expressed a wish to rekindle their clinical skills. But the current pay systems, infrastructure and differing cultures of the NHS and academia create barriers. Moving between university and health service employment is a significant challenge.

In other clinical settings, nurses undertaking research report problems with lone working, poor appraisal systems and very limited education and training opportunities. They are unlikely to be supported by, or connected to, academic departments of nursing if the work is under the supervision of other health professionals.

Lack of capacity in the workforce and need for financial support

Universities that try to develop a workforce of researcher-educator nurses are constrained by a lack of capacity. The academic nurse workforce is aging and there are reported difficulties in filling senior university appointments. This situation works against nurses who wish to develop or advance their research and teaching careers because of the lack of capacity to support and mentor the next generation in sufficiently large numbers. It can also sometimes propel nurses doing research into senior roles before they have appropriately developed their research skills.

Workforce Commissioners have expressed concerns about the declining number of nurse educators, and the National Workforce Review Team suggested that 'workforce planning for educators needs attention' in its recommendations for 2005/6 [17].

Considerable improvements in nursing research activity were seen for 2001 compared to 1996, measured by the Research Assessment Exercise, conducted jointly by the Higher Education Funding

Council for England, the Scottish Funding Council, the Higher Education Funding Council for Wales and the Department for Employment and Learning, Northern Ireland [14]. But nursing research activity still compared unfavourably in terms of outputs against other relatable subject areas [18]. Research income within academic departments of nursing remains heavily reliant on UK government bodies, hospitals and health authorities. Some charities are also willing to provide funding because of the patient focus of much research carried out by nurses.

Despite valuable and targeted capability funding to universities from the Higher Education Funding Councils following the 2001 Research Assessment Exercise, nurses in research are still not making progress in sufficient numbers. On grant applications to major funding agencies, nurses seldom feature as principal investigators, and they are often unsuccessful in winning key grants.

However there is some evidence that targeted funding can make a difference. HEFCE undertook a survey of academic nursing departments in receipt of research capability funding [14]. The findings revealed that a number of academic departments were creating new clinical academic posts for early career researchers which provided grounding in research techniques whilst retaining clinical contact. The funding has also strengthened research strategies and allowed key appointments of senior researchers.

Professors make up only 2.6% of the academic nursing workforce, compared to 12% across the higher education sector as a whole [19].

1 in 3 academic institutions which offer pre/post registration nursing courses have no professorial posts in nursing [19].

Two thirds of higher education institutions find it very difficult to recruit professors and readers [20].

Lack of authoritative data on nurse researchers

Building a workforce of nurse researchers and educators with the right mix of academic, clinical and teaching skills requires accurate information on current numbers of nurses involved in research, the nature of their research activity and employment contract, their qualifications and their level of seniority.

As nurses engaged in clinical and health related research are employed in many areas across academic and clinical settings, gathering and pooling of data on research activities is difficult and time consuming. At present, such information is collected piecemeal with no national reporting system, and the picture of nursing research that emerges is fragmented and inadequate.

Labour market intelligence on the numbers and disposition of educators is also very poor and gathering information can be frustrating.

This lack of data about nurse researchers and educators represents an over-riding barrier to effective integration of research into nursing career pathways, with all the implications for education and training, employment structure and workforce capacity that such an obstruction brings.

There is currently no available information on the total numbers of clinical research nurses in the NHS. However some approximate figures are known. For example, there are an estimated 600 clinical research nurses associated with five of the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facilities (Birmingham, Cambridge, Edinburgh, Manchester and Southampton) and their associated NHS Trusts. Of these, only 70% have a first degree and 15% hold a postgraduate degree. Many report large variations in job descriptions, titles and roles and unclear career prospects [14].



RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations

Our recommendations are based on a vision for a flexible career pathway for nurses in which continuing clinical work forms the central core, with opportunities for research at all stages of professional life for those who successfully pursue the research route. We anticipate that our recommendations would expand the total numbers of graduate nurses involved in high quality clinical and health related research at an appropriate level, though they would still be a small minority of the profession. Underpinning this approach must be appropriate training opportunities that provide the knowledge, skills and competences required for research, and facilitate the building of a clinical academic career for those who wish to pursue a more specialised role in research in the NHS or in universities.

We would expect the balance between the roles of clinical nurse, researcher and educator to vary at different points in an individual's career. But, by encouraging the development of a 'pool' of clinical academic nurses, undertaking research at the highest level, we hope to nurture nurses as future leaders in research. These, in turn, will further extend and develop the involvement of nurses in clinical research, particularly in those areas where they can contribute most to patient care and wellbeing. The broad outline of this career path is illustrated in Figure 1.

Our report does give indicative numbers of nurses who would be funded at each stage of the programme in England, if our recommendations are accepted. The response to the recommendations in respect of nurses in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland will be considered by the respective authorities in the Devolved Administrations. We recognise that there will be debate about the appropriate scale of these new initiatives, both on grounds of desirability and affordability. In developing the indicative numbers in the report, we have sought to balance a pragmatic assessment of what could be affordable with the need to signal

that there needs to be a substantial increase in capacity in order to address the issues identified in our report.

The principal focus of our report is on the development of the research dimension of a clinical academic career, but we believe that our recommendations could also underpin the further development of the nurse-educator workforce and senior clinical roles such as nurse consultants. The broader benefits of our recommendations, beyond their primary purpose of capacity and capability building for nurses, will be delivered through the contributions of those clinical academic nurses who successfully complete the earlier stages of the new training. These nurses will not necessarily continue to the later stages but will be qualified to undertake highly skilled roles, as we explain below.

There are pre-existing training schemes and structures in the four home nations and the implementation of this report will face different challenges that may require different solutions to ensure that training schemes are compatible though not necessarily uniform.

Our recommendations address three main areas:


- ▶ Education and training
- ▶ Facilitating careers
- ▶ Better information on nursing research

Education and Training

All nurses must have the opportunity to become knowledgeable about research in their Diploma or Degree registration programmes and thereafter as competent evidence based practitioners. Unless this is widely achieved, an evidence base in clinical work will not be delivered and an important opportunity to promote clinical academic careers for nurses will be overlooked.

There are considerable numbers of nurses who would require relatively limited investment to make

Figure 1 Clinical academic training path



them clinical academics. This group might include nurse consultants and research nurses working in clinical trials and clinical research units. There are improving research opportunities for nurses who conduct and manage research led by others because of the developing clinical research networks and facilities. We regard these nurses as an important part of the potential pool for future research leadership roles.

Recommendation 1

We recommend the establishment of a coordinated range of research training opportunities endorsed by all four countries of the UK. These training opportunities should be organised at four sequential levels (Award Schemes 1 – 4), as set out below.

We have provided indicative numbers of places at these four levels, but we recognise that these may not be achieved initially in the implementation. Our

recommendations are crafted so that fewer people are supported at each stage than at the previous one. This is deliberate, and reflects our desire to support the development of the most senior leadership in nursing research, as well as to expand the absolute numbers.

However, giving research training to larger numbers at the early stages does not mean that this training has been wasted for those who do not proceed to the later stages. The health service and the Higher Education Institute (HEI) sector will benefit greatly from these programmes because staff who have been successful at one level of training, but who do not proceed to the next, will be available to undertake highly skilled roles once they rejoin full time clinical practice, or move on to other roles such as research nurses, where they can use the skills acquired. For example, those who complete the proposed Masters programmes, but do not proceed beyond that, will be well equipped to work on clinical research projects in the NHS and with academic partners. Similarly, those who

successfully complete doctoral level training, but do not proceed further in this training programme, will be sufficiently skilled to support clinical research projects in a highly professional way; they will also be prime candidates for academic appointments in nurse education where they will be able to educate the next generation of nurses in a research-informed way.

Recommendation 2

We welcome the opportunities for nurses presented by the UK Clinical Research Networks and clinical research facilities. We recommend that the rapidly developing training programmes emerging within this infrastructure should be seen as preparatory steps towards the new training path, for those who have experience of working as research nurses and who wish to develop their skills further. It will be important that this rapidly increasing cadre of research professionals has access to clear advice and mentoring to ensure that those that wish to move on to an MRes and beyond, can do so.

Our model in Figure 1 shows that we recognise that some nurses who join the new programme will have had some prior preparation in research through working as research nurses. We support the training available to these research nurses as a positive, preparatory step towards our four-stage training programme, which some will want to enter. However other nurses who enter the programme will do so without any experience of working as a research nurse.

Recommendation 3

MRes or MClinRes (Award Scheme 1)
We recommend that up to 100 career clinical academic training positions be funded annually for graduate nurses. These positions will be of a two-year duration (or part time equivalence), and 50% clinical and 50% academic in composition.

Such posts will have a clear academic postgraduate component resting within a well defined vocational training programme. A set of Core Modules should be developed nationally which would form part of the curriculum for all Award Scheme 1 holders.

These Masters degrees will be delivered by appropriately qualified HEIs in partnership with the NHS, within the institutional setting or through distance learning. By offering a set of Core Modules consistency will be achieved in these programmes, wherever they are delivered.

These Masters level programmes should be designed principally to be taken as the first stage of research/academic training for nurses wishing to pursue a career either in research or as an academic nurse with a research/educator profile. However, they should also be available for experienced nurses who could undertake them as an integrated part of clinical practice.

Recommendation 4

PhD/Professional Doctorate (Award Scheme 2)
We recommend that up to 50 early career clinical academic appointments are funded annually. These positions will be of a three-year duration (or part time equivalence) and allow students to undertake a PhD or Professional Doctorate programme of study.

Applicants will be expected to retain some clinical practice, through an honorary clinical contract. As with the Master's level awards, training will be provided by an appropriate HEI, working in partnership with the NHS.

It would be expected that training at doctoral, and at subsequent stages, would take place in environments where a substantial amount of high quality research is taking place, and which can

provide appropriate supervision and research experience. These criteria could be met by different types of research environment, including multidisciplinary research teams as well as those focused specifically on nursing. Indeed, given the small number of academic nurses currently qualified and experienced to direct research at the highest level, our proposals would not work if they relied solely on this existing group to train and mentor increased numbers of nurses skilled in research. The use of multidisciplinary teams to support the higher academic levels of training will enable the increase to be more rapid, and to involve more locations than would be possible otherwise.

Recommendation 5

Postdoctoral Career Fellowships (Award Scheme 3)

We recommend that up to 20 Postdoctoral Career Fellowships are funded annually. These positions will be of a three-year duration (or part time equivalence) to allow appointees to undertake advanced research, clinical and education roles and develop their programme leadership potential.

Recommendation 6

Senior Clinical Academic Fellowships (Award Scheme 4)

We recommend that up to 10 Senior Clinical Academic Fellowships for nurses are funded annually. These positions will be of a three- to five-year duration (or part time equivalence) and allow appointees to develop more advanced research, clinical work and education skills and develop their role as leaders.

Facilitating careers

Career opportunities for nurses must be sufficiently flexible to allow pathways that sustain clinical engagement but develop expertise in research and

the delivery of education. They must also allow for both horizontal and vertical routes of progression. The challenge of linking employment between HEIs and the NHS must be addressed and a new understanding is needed between NHS employers and universities so that both can make best use of the knowledge and abilities of nurses who want to integrate research or teaching within clinical practice. An essential component is for universities and health sector employers to consider within a flexible contract of employment, issues such as liability, intellectual property, research conduct and appraisal. There is also a need to tackle together the ways in which access to pensions can impede the desired career flexibility for clinical academic nurses. Work has commenced on the development of a model contract which will be beneficial in the long term.

Nurses who wish to develop a more varied career require a contract of employment which encourages career development and many would like the opportunity to regain some of their previous skills gained in the clinical environment.

Those nurses who wish to develop a career in education need better opportunities to gain academic status through research related to education, and from career development which supports more senior posts with an educational specification.

Recommendation 7

We recommend that career flexibility, specifically the ease of combining research and clinical practice throughout a career, must be enabled through the introduction of sessionally based contracts of employment that allow nurses to work as clinicians while also undertaking other roles as researchers and/or educators. In the long term, model contracts should be developed, but in the interim pragmatic solutions such as secondments must be adopted.

Models for clinical supervision in nursing are well developed, but mentoring schemes are not widely available to academic and other nurses involved in research. This is in contrast to doctors with leadership potential in research who are currently offered mentoring through a highly regarded scheme run by the Academy of Medical Sciences. Mentoring should be made available to nurses at all stages of their career, beginning at graduation and continuing at key times when they are considering choices in their career pathway. Individual and collective mentoring, through national events, would also help develop a cohort of clinical research nurses participating in the Schemes.

Recommendation 8

We recommend that, in discussion with key partners, a well articulated system of mentoring and peer support is developed for nurse researchers and educators, to include emerging researchers. Appropriate resources should be identified to deliver this system.

Better information

Recommendation 9

We recommend that NHS careers advisors must be made aware of and promote the full range of career opportunities that are possible for qualified nurses. Careers advice must include opportunities for developing excellence in clinical research, education and leadership.

We recognise that this system does not exist in Northern Ireland at present.

Without authoritative data on the number of nurses involved in research and education, their qualifications and roles, progress will not be made in furthering the aims of this report.

Recommendation 10

We recommend that a single data source be developed to provide information on labour market intelligence that relates to nurses engaged in training to be researchers and educators.

This should include prospective career tracking of all nurses that are supported by these new initiatives.

Implementation

An implementation group needs to be established by the UKCRC, with appropriate stakeholder representation, to work on the implementation of these recommendations. The group should be drawn from those individuals able to implement and deliver the recommendations, rather than a representative body. Importantly, the group should be flexible and able to bring together relevant stakeholders to address specific issues.

Recommendation 11

We recommend that the implementation and delivery of these recommendations and their associated actions should commence without delay, with a view to achieving the recommendations outlined in this report within 5 years. The progress of the implementation of the recommendations should be carefully monitored and reviewed after an appropriate timescale.

Terms of Reference:

The overarching aim of the Subcommittee is to improve training and careers for nurses in clinical research.

The Steering Group will:

- ▶ Consider ways of improving access to, training for, and sustaining clinical research careers in nursing including collaborating, managing and leading
- ▶ Consult widely with stakeholders to harness as broad a range of ideas as possible
- ▶ Focus exclusively on the careers of clinical research roles of nurses
- ▶ Build on the work undertaken by the Task Group 3 Report, the Strategic Learning and Research Advisory Group for Health and Social Care
- ▶ Report with recommended actions (prioritised) to the UK Clinical Research Collaboration.

Members of the UKCRC Subcommittee for Nurses in Clinical Research (Workforce):

Chair: **Professor Janet Finch**
(Vice Chancellor, Keele University)

Dr. Nicola Armstrong
(Programme Manager for Nursing, R&D Office, Northern Ireland Health & Social Services Central Services Agency)

Professor Christine Beasley
(Chief Nursing Officer for England, Department of Health)

Professor Tony Butterworth
(Director, Centre for Clinical and Academic Workforce Innovation, University of Lincoln)

Dr. Lisa Cotterill
(Director, National Co-ordinating Centre for Research Capacity Development (NCCRCD), Department of Health)

Professor Janet Darbyshire
(Director, MRC Clinical Trials Unit and Co-Director, UK Clinical Research Network)

Katherine Fenton
(Director of Nursing & Quality, Barts and the London NHS Trust)

Theresa Fyffe
(Deputy Chief Nursing Officer, Scottish Executive Health Department)

Nic Greenfield
(Deputy Director of Workforce (Education, Regulation & Pay), Department of Health)

Paul Hubbard
(Head of Research Policy, Higher Education Funding Council for England)

Dr. Christine Jackson
(Director of Research Development, Centre for Clinical and Academic Workforce Innovation, University of Lincoln)

Dr. Helen Jenkins

(National Coordinating Centre for Research Capacity Development)

Professor Joyce Kenkre

(Professor of Primary Care & Senior Research Officer, Welsh Assembly Government, University of Glamorgan)

Professor Dame Jill Macleod Clark

(Chair, Council of Deans and Heads of UK University Faculties for Nurses and Health Professions)

Dr. Beverley Malone

(General Secretary, Royal College of Nursing)

Ann McMahon

(Research and Development Adviser, Royal College of Nursing)

Professor Jackie Oldham

(Operational Director, Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility, Manchester)

Dr. Liam O'Toole

(Chief Executive, UK Clinical Research Collaboration)

Dr. Frances Rawle

(Head of Research Careers Awards, Medical Research Council)

Hilary Scholefield

(Director of Nursing, University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire NHS Trust)

Stephen Thornton

(Chief Executive, The Health Foundation)

Professor Patrick Vallance

(Registrar, Academy of Medical Sciences)

Dr. Mark Walport

(Director, Wellcome Trust)

Expert Support Team:

Professor Tony Butterworth

Dr. Christine Jackson

Sharon Lloyd

(Administrative Support, Centre for Clinical and Academic Workforce Innovation, University of Lincoln)

UKCRC Expert Reference Group:

Chair: **Dame Professor Jill Macleod Clark**

Gail Adams

(Head of Nursing, Unison)

Dr. Nicola Armstrong

Professor Tony Butterworth

Dr. Lisa Cotterill

Professor Karen Cox

(Professor in Cancer & Palliative Care, School of Nursing, University of Nottingham)

Dr. Robert Crouch

(Consultant Nurse, Southampton University Hospitals)

Professor Christi Deaton

(Professor of Nursing, University of Manchester)

Katherine Fenton

Dr. David Foster

(Director of Nursing, Hammersmith Hospitals NHS Trust)

Professor Sheila Hunt

(Head of School, School of Nursing & Midwifery, University of Dundee & Council of Deans – Scotland)

Dr. Christine Jackson

Professor Veronica James

(Professor of Nursing Studies, University of Nottingham)

Professor Sally Kendall

(Professor of Nursing, University of Hertfordshire)

Professor Joyce Kenkre

Dr. Alison Kitson

(Executive Director of Nursing, Royal College of Nursing)

Malcolm Lowe Lauri

(Chief Executive, Kings College Hospital NHS Trust)

Professor Karen Luker

(Dean of School, School of Nursing, Midwifery & Social Work, University of Manchester)

Althea Mahon

(Consultant Nurse, Royal London Hospital)

Eileen Martin

(Dean, Faculty of Health, University of Central Lancashire)

Professor Hugh McKenna

(Dean, Faculty of Life, Health & Sciences, University of Ulster)

Ann McMahon

Professor Donna Mead

(Head of School Care Sciences, University of Glamorgan & Council of Deans – Wales)

Ros Moore

(Professional Officer, Department of Health)

Helen Moss

(Deputy Chief Nurse, University Hospital Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust)

Professor Jackie Oldham

Elaine Rae

(Training & Education Manager, UK Clinical Research Network)

Professor Ann Marie Rafferty

(Dean and Chair of Nursing Policy, Kings College, London)

Dr. Mags Sara

(Programme Manager, UK Clinical Research Collaboration)

Caroline Saunders

(Clinical Manager, Addenbrooke's Clinical Research Centre, Addenbrooke's Hospital)

Margaret Sills

(Academic Director, Higher Education Academy)

Dr. Geraldine Walters

(Director of Nursing, St. Georges Hospital NHS Trust)

International experts consulted:

Professor Mi Ja Kim

(Professor and Dean Director, Academy of International Leadership Development, University of Illinois at Chicago)

Polly Bednash

(Executive Director, The American Association of College of Nursing (AACN), Washington DC)

Theresa Valiga

(National League for Nursing, New York)

Nancy Dickenson Hazard

(Chief Executive Officer, Sigma Theta Tau International, Indianapolis)

Professor Pauline Nugent

(Head of School of Nursing, Deakin University, Melbourne)

Professor David R Thompson

(Director, The Nethersole School of Nursing, The Chinese University of Hong Kong)

Expert views were additionally canvassed from:

- ▶ Academy of Medical Sciences
- ▶ Council of Deans and Heads of UK University Faculties for Nursing
- ▶ Royal College of Nursing
- ▶ Royal College of Nursing PhD Student Network
- ▶ Physiotherapist's Senior Researchers Forum
- ▶ Association of UK University Hospitals

This report has been informed by expert advice from England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. Each nation has made progress through different timescales and in slightly different ways and has generously shared their experiences to date.

It is appreciated therefore that each nation will offer a slightly different response to the recommendations of this report and some may have already invested in schemes similar to those shown in our recommendations. Nonetheless, this report allows progress through a common template of opportunities, most, if not all of which will have a usefulness across the United Kingdom.

The following documents provided the Subcommittee with valuable insights on which to base its recommendations:

Achieving potential through research and development: a Welsh perspective

Kenkre J., Nursing Management (2005) 12: 18-19

A Northern Ireland Perspective

Rice F., Nursing Management (2005): 12: 16-17

Best Research for Best Health: A new national health research strategy

Department of Health (2006)

Changing Nursing Practice.

Wright S., (1989)

Choices and Challenges – the strategy for research and development in nursing and midwifery in Scotland

Scottish Executive (2002)

Designed for Life: Creating world class Health and Social Care for Wales in 21st century

Welsh Assembly Government (2005)

Hospital restructuring in North America and Europe: patient outcomes and workforce implications

Aiken L.H., Sochalski J.P. (eds). Medical Care (1997); 35 (supplement 152)

HR Plan Phase 2 Strategic Report

Strategic Learning and Research Advisory Group Project Team (2004)

HR Plan Project

Strategic Learning and Research Advisory Group (StLaR) (2004)

Medically- and dentally-qualified academic staff: Recommendations for training the researchers and educators of the future

Report of the Academic Careers Subcommittee of Modernising Medical Careers and the UK Clinical Research Collaboration (2005)

Modernising Nursing Careers - setting the direction

Department of Health – Chief Nursing Officer’s Directorate (2006)

Nursing and midwifery research in Scotland

Fyffe T., Waterton J., Nursing Management (2005); 12: 17-18

Promoting Research in Nursing and the Allied Professions (Research Report 01/64)

Higher Education Funding Council England (2001)

Research Assessment Exercise (RAE) output

Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE), the Scottish Funding Council (SFC), the Higher Education Funding Council for Wales (HEFCW) and the Department for Employment and Learning, Northern Ireland (DELNI) (2001)

Research Capability Survey

Higher Education Funding Council (England) (2005)

Research for health and wellbeing - A strategy for research and development to lead Northern Ireland into the 21st century

Research and Development Office for the Health and Personal Social Services (HPSS) in Northern Ireland (1999)

Standards for the preparation of teachers of nurses, midwives and specialist community public health nurses

Nursing and Midwifery Council (2004)

The Future of Nursing Research

McMahon A., Lacey A. in The Research Process in Nursing, Gerrish K. & Lacey A., (eds.), Blackwells (2006)

1. **Medically- and dentally-qualified academic staff: Recommendations for training the researchers and educators of the future** (2005) Report of the Academic Careers Subcommittee of Modernising Medical Careers and the UK Clinical Research Collaboration
2. **Modernising nursing careers - setting the direction** (2006). Department of Health – Chief Nursing Officer's Directorate
3. **Best Research for Best Health: A new national health research strategy** (2006). Department of Health
4. **Choices and Challenges – the strategy for research and development in nursing and midwifery in Scotland** (2002). Scottish Executive
5. **Designed for Life: Creating world class Health and Social Care for Wales in 21st century** (2005). Welsh Assembly Government
6. **Research for health and wellbeing - A strategy for research and development to lead Northern Ireland into the 21st century** (1999). Research and Development Office for the Health and Personal Social Services (HPSS) in Northern Ireland
7. **Progress Report 2004-2006** (2006). UK Clinical Research Collaboration
8. **Statistical analysis of the register** (2005). Nursing and Midwifery Council
9. **The Department of Health: Championing investment and capacity building in nursing research** (2005). Moore R., Wilkinson J. & Masterson A. *Nursing Management* 12: 15-16
10. **Using and doing research: a position paper to inform strategy, policy and practice for nursing research in Northern Ireland** (1998). McKenna H. & Mason C. Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety
11. **Using and Doing Research: Guiding the Future** (2005). McCance T. & Fitzsimons D. Northern Ireland Practice & Education Council
12. **A review of UK health research funding** (2006). Cooksey D. http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/media/56F/62/pbr06_cooksey_final_report_636.pdf
13. **Clinical academic careers for educators and researchers in nursing: some challenges and solutions** (2005). Butterworth A., Jackson C., Brown E., Hessey E., Fergusson J. & Orme M. *Journal of Research in Nursing* 10(1): 85-97
14. **A background report prepared for the UKCRC Workforce Careers (Nursing) Group** (2005). UKCRC Workforce and Careers (Nurses in Clinical Research) – unpublished
15. **Higher Education Statistics Agency** (2005)
16. **Survey of Nursing and Midwifery Research Capability in NHS Teaching Trusts** (2005). Association of UK University Hospitals
17. **2004 Workforce planning priorities for Allied Health Professionals, Nurses, Midwives and Healthcare Scientists** (2004). NHS Workforce Review Team
18. **Benchmarking research development in nursing: Curran's competitive advantage as a framework for excellence** (2007). James V. & Macleod Clark J. *Journal of Research in Nursing* 5(12) 269-287
19. **Research and development leadership in nursing across the UK: a biennial review** (2006). O'Carroll D. & McMahon A. From Royal College of Nursing 2006 annual international research conference
20. **Higher Education Institutes Staffing Survey** (2005). Council of Deans and Heads of UK University Faculties for Nursing (Council of Deans) – unpublished.

Appendix 4

Definitions

Nurse

Any practitioner registered with the Nursing and Midwifery Council.

Clinical Academic Nurse

A nurse who is engaged in both clinical and academic duties. The academic duties may include research, teaching or both. The substantive contract of employment may be held by an NHS Trust or a Higher Education Institution. Honorary contracts are held with the non-substantive host.

Clinical Academic Career

A clinical academic career for nurses brings together clinical excellence, research and teaching in a systemic relationship. It should offer flexible career opportunities that sustain and develop clinical skills and offer opportunities to become proficient researchers and educators.

Clinical Research Nurse

A nurse who is employed principally to undertake research within the clinical environment.

As used at present, this term can cover a range of circumstances with the common feature that research is the sole or principal part of the employment role. This can include nurses who are:

- ▶ Employed on a short term project basis under the direction of medical researchers
- ▶ Employed on a permanent basis to support research in major facilities, eg: Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facilities
- ▶ Autonomous practitioners, directing their own projects.

Nurse Researcher

A nurse who is primarily engaged in research but who does not directly undertake clinical duties. The research may or may not have a clinical component. Nurse researchers may be employed by HEIs or Trusts (many will have temporary contracts).

Lecturer Practitioner

Senior appointees who lead, teach and consult research in clinical environment.

Abbreviations

AHPs	Allied Health Professions/Professionals
HEFCE	Higher Education Funding Council for England
HEI	Higher Education Institution
MClinRes	Master of Clinical Research
MNC	Modernising Nursing Careers
MRes	Master of Research
MSc	Master of Science
NHS	National Health Service
NIHR	National Institute for Health Research
NMAHPs	Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professions
PhD	Doctor of Philosophy
R&D	Research and Development
RAE	Research Assessment Exercise
SEHD	Scottish Executive Health Department
UKCRC	UK Clinical Research Collaboration
UKCRN	UK Clinical Research Network
WORD	Wales Office for Research and Development in Health and Social Care



UK Clinical Research Collaboration

20 Park Crescent

London

W1B 1AL

United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)207 670 5452

Fax: +44 (0)207 637 6067

info@ukcrc.org

www.ukcrc.org